

DEFORMATIONS IN G_2 MANIFOLDS

SELMAN AKBULUT AND SEMA SALUR

ABSTRACT. Here we study the deformations of associative submanifolds inside a G_2 manifold M^7 with a calibration 3-form φ . A choice of 2-plane field Λ on M (which always exists) splits the tangent bundle of M as a direct sum of a 3-dimensional associative bundle and a complex 4-plane bundle $TM = \mathbf{E} \oplus \mathbf{V}$, and this helps us to relate the deformations to Seiberg-Witten type equations. Here all the surveyed results as well as the new ones about G_2 manifolds are proved by using only the cross product operation (equivalently φ). We feel that mixing various different local identifications of the rich G_2 geometry (e.g. cross product, representation theory and the algebra of octonions) makes the study of G_2 manifolds looks harder than it is (e.g. the proof of McLean's theorem [M]). We believe the approach here makes things easier and keeps the presentation elementary. This paper is essentially self contained.

1. G_2 MANIFOLDS

We first review the basic results about G_2 manifolds, along the way we give a self contained proof of the McLean's theorem and its generalization [M], [AS1]. A G_2 manifold (M, φ, Λ) with an oriented 2-plane field gives various complex structures on some of subbundles of $T(M)$. This imposes interesting structures on the deformation theory of its associative submanifolds. By using this we relate them to the Seiberg-Witten type equations.

Let us recall some basic definitions (c.f. [B1], [B2], [HL]): Octonions give an 8 dimensional division algebra $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{H} \oplus i\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^8$ generated by $\langle 1, i, j, k, l, li, lj, lk \rangle$. The imaginary octonions $im\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{R}^7$ is equipped with the cross product operation $\times : \mathbb{R}^7 \times \mathbb{R}^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^7$ defined by $u \times v = im(\bar{v}.u)$. The exceptional Lie group G_2 is the linear automorphisms of $im\mathbb{O}$ preserving this cross product. It can also be defined in terms of the orthogonal 3-frames:

$$(1) \quad G_2 = \{(u_1, u_2, u_3) \in (im\mathbb{O})^3 \mid \langle u_i, u_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \langle u_1 \times u_2, u_3 \rangle = 0\}.$$

Date: February 2, 2008.

Key words and phrases. mirror duality, calibration.

First named author is partially supported by NSF grant DMS 0505638.

Alternatively, G_2 is the subgroup of $GL(7, \mathbb{R})$ which fixes a particular 3-form $\varphi_0 \in \Omega^3(\mathbb{R}^7)$, [B1]. Denote $e^{ijk} = dx^i \wedge dx^j \wedge dx^k \in \Omega^3(\mathbb{R}^7)$, then

$$G_2 = \{A \in GL(7, \mathbb{R}) \mid A^* \varphi_0 = \varphi_0\}.$$

$$(2) \quad \varphi_0 = e^{123} + e^{145} + e^{167} + e^{246} - e^{257} - e^{347} - e^{356}.$$

Definition 1. A smooth 7-manifold M^7 has a G_2 structure if its tangent frame bundle reduces to a G_2 bundle. Equivalently, M^7 has a G_2 structure if there is a 3-form $\varphi \in \Omega^3(M)$ such that at each $x \in M$ the pair $(T_x(M), \varphi(x))$ is isomorphic to $(T_0(\mathbb{R}^7), \varphi_0)$ (pointwise condition). We call (M, φ) a manifold with a G_2 structure.

A G_2 structure φ on M^7 gives an orientation $\mu_\varphi = \mu \in \Omega^7(M)$ on M , and μ determines a metric $g = g_\varphi = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on M , and a cross product operation $TM \times TM \rightarrow TM$: $(u, v) \mapsto u \times v = u \times_\varphi v$ defined as follows: Let $i_v = v \lrcorner$ be the interior product with a vector v , then

$$\langle u, v \rangle = [(u \lrcorner \varphi) \wedge (v \lrcorner \varphi) \wedge \varphi] / 6\mu.$$

$$(3) \quad \varphi(u, v, w) = (v \lrcorner u \lrcorner \varphi)(w) = \langle u \times v, w \rangle.$$

Definition 2. A manifold with G_2 structure (M, φ) is called a G_2 manifold if the holonomy group of the Levi-Civita connection (of the metric g_φ) lies inside of G_2 . In this case φ is called integrable. Equivalently (M, φ) is a G_2 manifold if φ is parallel with respect to the metric g_φ , that is $\nabla_{g_\varphi}(\varphi) = 0$; which is in turn equivalent to $d\varphi = 0$, $d(*_{g_\varphi}\varphi) = 0$ (i.e. φ harmonic). Also equivalently, at each point $x_0 \in M$ there is a chart $(U, x_0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^7, 0)$ on which φ equals to φ_0 up to second order term, i.e. on the image of U , $\varphi(x) = \varphi_0 + O(|x|^2)$.

Remark 1. One important class of G_2 manifolds are the ones obtained from Calabi-Yau manifolds. Let (X, ω, Ω) be a complex 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau manifold with Kähler form ω and a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 3-form Ω , then $X^6 \times S^1$ has holonomy group $SU(3) \subset G_2$, hence is a G_2 manifold. In this case $\varphi = \text{Re } \Omega + \omega \wedge dt$. Similarly, $X^6 \times \mathbb{R}$ gives a noncompact G_2 manifold.

Definition 3. Let (M, φ) be a G_2 manifold. A 4-dimensional submanifold $X \subset M$ is called coassociative if $\varphi|_X = 0$. A 3-dimensional submanifold $Y \subset M$ is called associative if $\varphi|_Y \equiv \text{vol}(Y)$; this condition is equivalent to $\chi|_Y \equiv 0$, where $\chi \in \Omega^3(M, TM)$ is the tangent bundle valued 3-form given by:

$$(4) \quad \langle \chi(u, v, w), z \rangle = * \varphi(u, v, w, z).$$

Equivalence of these conditions follows from the ‘associator equality’ of [HL]

$$\varphi(u, v, w)^2 + |\chi(u, v, w)|^2/4 = |u \wedge v \wedge w|^2.$$

Sometimes χ is also called the triple cross product operation and denoted by $\chi(u, v, w) = u \times v \times w$. By imitating the definition of χ , we can view the usual cross product as a tangent bundle 2-form $\psi \in \Omega^2(M, TM)$ defined by

$$(5) \quad \langle \psi(u, v), w \rangle = \varphi(u, v, w).$$

As in the case of φ , χ can be expressed in terms of in cross product and metric

$$(6) \quad \chi(u, v, w) = -u \times (v \times w) - \langle u, v \rangle w + \langle u, w \rangle v$$

(c.f. [H], [HL], [K]). From (6) and the identity $u \times v = u.v + \langle u, v \rangle$, the reader can easily check that $2\chi(u, v, w) = (u.v).w - u.(v.w)$, which shows that the associative submanifolds of (M, φ) are the manifolds where the octonion multiplication of the tangent vectors is “associative”.

We call a 3-plane $E \subset TM$ *associative plane* if $\varphi|_E = \text{vol}(E)$, so associative submanifolds Y^3 are submanifolds whose tangent planes are associative. From (2) and (3) we see that an associative 3-plane $E \subset TM$ is a plane generated by three orthonormal vectors in the form $\langle u, v, u \times v \rangle$; and also if $V = E^\perp$ is its orthogonal complement (coassociative), the cross product induces maps:

$$(7) \quad E \times V \rightarrow V, \text{ and } V \times V \rightarrow E, \text{ and } E \times E \rightarrow E.$$

Note that (4) implies that the 3-form χ assigns a normal vector to every oriented 3-plane in $T(M)$, [AS1], which is zero on the associative planes. Therefore, we can view χ as a section of the 4-plane bundle $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{E}^\perp \rightarrow G_3(M)$ over the Grassmannian bundle of orientable 3-planes in $T(M)$, where $\mathbb{V}$ is orthogonal bundle to the canonical bundle $\mathbb{E} \rightarrow G_3(M)$. In particular, χ gives a normal vector field on all oriented 3-dimensional submanifolds $f : Y^3 \hookrightarrow (M, \varphi)$, which is zero if the submanifold is associative. This gives an interesting first order flow $\partial f / \partial t = \chi(f_* \text{vol}(Y))$ (which is called χ -flow in [AS2]), which appears to push $f(Y)$ towards associative submanifolds.

Finally, a useful fact which will be used later is the following: The $SO(3)$ -bundle $\mathbb{E}$ is the reduction of the $SO(4)$ -bundle $\mathbb{V}$ by the projection to the first factor $SO(4) = (SU(2) \times SU(2))/\mathbf{Z}_2 \rightarrow SU(2)/\mathbf{Z}_2 = SO(3)$, i.e. $\mathbb{E} = \Lambda_+^2 \mathbb{V}$.

2. 2-FRAME FIELDS OF G_2 MANIFOLDS

By a theorem of Emery Thomas, all orientable 7-manifolds admit non-vanishing 2-frame fields [T], in particular they admit non-vanishing oriented 2-plane fields. Using this, we get a useful additional structure on the tangent bundle of G_2 manifolds.

Lemma 1. *A non-vanishing oriented 2-plane field Λ on a manifold with G_2 -structure (M, φ) induces a splitting of $T(M) = \mathbf{E} \oplus \mathbf{V}$, where $\mathbf{E}$ is a bundle of associative 3-planes, and $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{E}^\perp$ is a bundle of coassociative 4-planes. The unit sections ξ of the bundle $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow M$ give complex structures J_ξ on $\mathbf{V}$.*

Proof. Let $\Lambda = \langle u, v \rangle$ be the 2-plane spanned by the basis vectors of an orthonormal 2-frame $\{u, v\}$ in M . Then we define $\mathbf{E} = \langle u, v, u \times v \rangle$, and $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{E}^\perp$. We can define the complex structure on $\mathbf{V}$ by $J_\xi(x) = x \times \xi$. $\square$

Similar complex structures were studied in [HL]. The complex structure $J_\Lambda(z) = \chi(u, v, z)$ of [AS1] turns out to coincide with $J_{v \times u}$ because by (6):

$$(8) \quad \chi(u, v, z) = \chi(z, u, v) = -z \times (u \times v) - \langle z, u \rangle v + \langle z, v \rangle u = J_{v \times u}(z).$$

J_ξ also defines a complex structure on the bigger bundle $\xi^\perp \subset TM$. So it is natural to study manifolds (M, φ, Λ) , with a G_2 structure φ , and a nonvanishing oriented 2-plane field Λ inducing the splitting $T(M) = \mathbf{E} \oplus \mathbf{V}$, and $\mathbf{J} = J_{v \times u}$. Note that each of these terms depend on φ and Λ .

Definition 4. *We call $Y^3 \subset (M, \Lambda)$ a Λ -spin submanifold if $\Lambda|_Y \subset TY$, and call $Y^3 \subset (M, \varphi, \Lambda)$ a Λ -associative submanifold if $\mathbf{E}|_Y = TY$.*

Clearly Λ -associative submanifolds $Y \subset (M, \varphi, \Lambda)$ are Λ -spin. Also since Y has a natural metric induced from the metric of (M, φ) , we can identify the set of $Spin^c$ structures $Spin^c(Y) \cong H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ on Y by the homotopy classes of 2-plane fields on Y (as well as the homotopy classes of vector fields on Y). So, any Λ -spin submanifold Y inherits a natural $Spin^c$ structure $s = s(\Lambda)$ from Λ . How abundant are the Λ -associative (or Λ -spin) submanifolds? Some answers:

Lemma 2. *Let M^7 be an orientable 7-manifold, then every $Spin^c$ submanifold $(Y^3, s) \subset M^7$ is Λ -spin for some Λ with $s = s(\Lambda)$, and every associative $Y \subset (M, \varphi)$ is Λ -associative for some Λ .*

Proof. Let $s = \langle u', v' \rangle$ be the $Spin^c$ structure generated by an orthonormal frame field on TY . By using [T] we choose a nonvanishing orthonormal 2-frame field $\{u, v\}$ on M . Let $V_2(\mathbf{R}^7) \rightarrow V_2(M) \rightarrow M$ be the Steifel bundle of 2-frames in $T(M)$. Now the restriction of this bundle to Y has two sections $\{u', v'\}$

and $\{u, v\}|_Y$ which are homotopic, since the fiber $V_2(\mathbf{R}^7)$ is 4-connected. By the homotopy extension property $\{u', v'\}$ extends to orthonormal 2-frame field $\{u'', v''\}$ to M , then we let $\Lambda = \langle u'', v'' \rangle$. Furthermore when Y is associative, we can start with an orthonormal 3-frame of TY of the form $\{u', v', u' \times v'\}$, then get the corresponding $\mathbf{E}_\Lambda = \langle u'', v'', u'' \times v'' \rangle$, which makes Y Λ -associative. $\square$

More generally, for any manifold with a G_2 structure (M, φ) we can study the bundle of oriented 2-planes $G_2(M) \rightarrow M$ on M , and construct the corresponding universal bundles $\mathbb{E} \rightarrow G_2(M)$ and $\mathbb{V} \rightarrow G_2(M)$, and a complex structure $\mathbb{J}$ on $\mathbb{V}$, where $\mathbb{J} = J_\Lambda$ on the fiber over $\Lambda = \langle u, v \rangle$. Then each (M, φ, Λ) is a section of $G_2(M) \rightarrow M$, inducing $\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{J}$. We can do the same construction on the bundle of oriented 2-frames $V_2(M) \rightarrow M$ and get the same quantities, in this case we get a *hyper-complex structure* on $\mathbb{V}$, i.e. we get three complex structures $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{J}_1, \mathbb{J}_2, \mathbb{J}_3$ on $\mathbb{V}$ corresponding to $J_{u \times v}, J_u, J_v$, over each fiber $\{u, v\}$, and they anti-commute and cyclically commute e.g. $\mathbb{J}_1 \mathbb{J}_2 = \mathbb{J}_3$. Notice also that $\mathbb{J}_1$ depends only on the oriented 2-plane field, whereas $\mathbb{J}_2, \mathbb{J}_3$ depend on the 2-frame field.

By using $\mathbb{J}_1$ (or one of the other $\mathbb{J}_p, p = 2, 3$) we can split $\mathbb{V}_\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{W} \oplus \bar{\mathbb{W}}$, as a pair of conjugate $\mathbb{C}^2$ -bundles ($\pm i$ eigenspaces of $\mathbb{J}_1$). This gives a complex line bundle $K = \Lambda^2(\bar{W})$ which corresponds to the 2-plane field Λ . Corresponding to K we get a canonical $Spin^c$ structure on $\mathbb{V}$. More specifically, recall that $U(2) = (S^1 \times S^3)/\mathbb{Z}_2$, $SO(4) = (S^3 \times S^3)/\mathbb{Z}_2$, $Spin^c(4) = (S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1)/\mathbb{Z}_2$,

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & Spin^c(4) & \\ & \downarrow & \\ U(2) & \nearrow & SO(4) \times S^1 \end{array}$$

where the horizontal map $[\lambda, A] \mapsto ([\lambda, A], \lambda^2)$ canonically lifts to the map $[\lambda, A] \mapsto (\lambda, A, \lambda)$, where the transition functions λ^2 corresponds to K (see for example [A]). This means that there are pair of complex $\mathbb{C}^2$ -bundles, $\mathbb{W}^\pm \rightarrow V_2(M)$ with $\mathbb{V}_\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{W}^+ \otimes \mathbb{W}^-$. This fact can be checked directly by taking $\mathbb{W}^+ = K^{-1} + \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{W}^- = \bar{W}$ (note $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{W}) \otimes \bar{W} \cong \Lambda^2(\mathbb{W}) \otimes \mathbb{W}^* \cong \mathbb{W}$). This gives an action $\mathbb{E} = \Lambda_+^2(\mathbb{V}) : \mathbb{W}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{W}^+$; in our case this action will come from cross product structure, Lemma 3 will do this by identifying $\mathbb{W}^+$ with S .

Note also that from (6) and (7) the cross product operation $\rho(a)(w) = a \times w$ induces a Clifford representation by $\rho(u \times v) = -\mathbb{J}_1$, $\rho(u) = -\mathbb{J}_2$, $\rho(v) = -\mathbb{J}_3$

$$(10) \quad \rho : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow End(\mathbb{V}).$$

2.1. G_2 frame fields on G_2 manifolds.

In the case of a manifold with G_2 structure (M, φ) , Thomas's theorem can be strengthened to the conclusion that M admits a 2-frame field Λ , with the property that on the tubular neighborhood of the 3-skeleton of M , Λ is the restriction of a G_2 frame field. To see this, we start with an orthonormal 2-frame field $\{u_1, u_2\}$, then let $\Lambda = \langle u_1, u_2, u_1 \times u_2 \rangle$ and $\mathbb{V} \rightarrow M$ be the corresponding universal 4-plane bundle as in the last section. Then we pick a unit section u_3 of $\mathbb{V} \rightarrow M$ over the 3-skeleton $M^{(3)}$; there is no obstruction doing this since we are sectioning an S^3 -bundle over the 3-skeleton of M . Now, from the definition of G_2 in (1) we see that $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ is a G_2 frame on $M^{(3)}$.

Definition 5. *We call (M, φ, Λ) a framed G_2 manifold if Λ is the restriction of a G_2 frame field on M .*

The above discussion says that every (M, φ) admits a 2-frame field Λ such that $(M^{(3)}, \varphi, \Lambda)$ is a G_2 -framed manifold. From now on, the notation (M, φ, Λ) will refer to a manifold with a G_2 structure and a 2-frame field Λ , such that on $M^{(3)}$, Λ is the restriction of a G_2 frame as above. From the above discussion, the last condition is equivalent to picking a nonvanishing section of $\mathbb{V} \rightarrow M^{(3)}$ (called u_3 above). This will be useful when studying local deformations of associative submanifolds $Y^3 \subset M$ (they live near $M^{(3)}$). Using the same notations of the last section we state:

Lemma 3. *Let (M, φ, Λ) be a framed G_2 manifold. Then we can decompose $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}} = S \oplus \bar{S}$ as a pair of bundles, each of which is isomorphic to $W^+ = K^{-1} + \mathbb{C}$, and the cross product ρ induces a representation $\rho_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \text{End}(S)$ given by:*

$$u \times v \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \quad u \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad v \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof. We choose a local orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_7\}$ which φ is in the form (2) with $\{u \times v, u, v\} = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ (because of the canonical metric we will not distinguish the notations of local frames and coframes). From (2) and (3) we compute the cross product operation, $\mathbb{J}_1, \mathbb{J}_2, \mathbb{J}_3$, and $\mathbb{W}$ from the tables below

$\times$	e_4	e_5	e_6	e_7
e_1	e_5	$-e_4$	e_7	$-e_6$
e_2	e_6	$-e_7$	$-e_4$	e_5
e_3	$-e_7$	$-e_6$	e_5	e_4

$$\mathbb{J}_1 : \begin{pmatrix} e_4 \mapsto -e_5 \\ e_5 \mapsto e_4 \\ e_6 \mapsto -e_7 \\ e_7 \mapsto e_6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{J}_2 : \begin{pmatrix} e_4 \mapsto -e_6 \\ e_5 \mapsto e_7 \\ e_6 \mapsto e_4 \\ e_7 \mapsto -e_5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{J}_3 : \begin{pmatrix} e_4 \mapsto e_7 \\ e_5 \mapsto e_6 \\ e_6 \mapsto -e_5 \\ e_7 \mapsto -e_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbb{W} = \langle e_p - i \mathbb{J}_1(e_p) \mid p = 4, \dots, 7 \rangle = \langle e_4 + ie_5, e_6 + ie_7 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \langle E_1, E_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

$$\bar{\mathbb{W}} = \langle e_p + i \mathbb{J}_1(e_p) \mid p = 4, \dots, 7 \rangle = \langle e_4 - ie_5, e_6 - ie_7 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \langle \bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

$\mathbb{J}_2$ and $\mathbb{J}_3 : \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{W}}$ are given by $(E_1, E_2) \mapsto (-\bar{E}_2, \bar{E}_1)$ and $(i\bar{E}_2, -i\bar{E}_1)$ respectively; by composing them with complex conjugation we can view them as complex structures on $\mathbb{W}$ (hence we get a quaternionic structure on $\mathbb{W}$). We can decompose $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}} = S \oplus \bar{S}$, where $S = \langle E_1, J_2 E_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \langle E_1, -\bar{E}_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$, and hence $\bar{S} = \langle E_2, J_2 E_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \langle E_2, \bar{E}_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$, then it is straightforward to check that, the maps $\mathbb{J}_p$ give complex structures on S and $\rho(e_p)$ are given by the matrices in the statement of this Lemma, for $p = 1, 2, 3$.

Since $a(e_4 + ie_5) + b(e_6 - ie_7) = [a(e_4 + ie_5) \wedge (e_6 + ie_7)] + b] \otimes (e_6 - ie_7)$, we can identify $S \cong K^{-1} + \mathbb{C}$, i.e. tensoring with the section $s := (e_6 - ie_7)$ gives the isomorphism. Here s is a nonvanishing section of $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$ which is determined by the unit section u_3 coming from the G_2 framing (discussed above). This is because we can choose $\{e_4 = u_3, e_5 = J_1(u_3), e_6 = J_2(u_3), e_7 = J_3(u_3)\}$. $\square$

There is also the useful bundle map $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ induced by

$$(11) \quad \sigma(z, w) = \left(\frac{|z|^2 - |w|^2}{2} \right) u \times v + \operatorname{Re}(z\bar{w})u + \operatorname{Im}(w\bar{z})v.$$

This is the quadratic map which appears in Seiberg-Witten theory, after identifying $\mathbb{E}$ with the Lie algebra $su(2)$ (skew adjoint endomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^2$ with the inner product given by the Killing form) we get

$$\sigma(x) = \sigma(z, w) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{|z|^2 - |w|^2}{2} & z\bar{w} \\ w\bar{z} & \frac{|w|^2 - |z|^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(12) \quad \langle \sigma(x), x \rangle = 2|\sigma(x)|^2 = \frac{1}{2}|x|^4.$$

These identifications are standard tools used Seiberg-Witten theory (c.f [A]).

2.2. Deforming G_2 structures.

For a 7-manifold with a G_2 structure (M, φ) , the space of all G_2 structures on M is identified with an open subset of 3-forms $\Omega_+^3(M) \subset \Omega^3(M)$, which is the orbit of φ by the gauge transformations of $T(M)$. The orbit is open by the dimension reason (recall that the action of $GL(7, \mathbf{R})$ on $\Omega^3(X)$ has G_2 as the stabilizer). The structure of $\Omega_+^3(M)$ is nicely explained in [B2] as follows: By definition, $\Omega_+^3(M)$ is the space of sections of a bundle over M with fiber $GL(7, \mathbf{R})/G_2$ (which is homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{RP}^7$). Furthermore, the subspace of the G_2 structures inducing the same metric can be parametrized with the space of sections of the bundle $\mathbb{RP}^7 \rightarrow P(T^*M \oplus \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow M$ with fibers $SO(7)/G_2 = \mathbb{RP}^7$, where $P(T^*M \oplus \mathbb{R})$ is the projectivization of $T^*(M) \oplus \mathbb{R}$. That is, if $\lambda = [a, \alpha]$ with $a^2 + \alpha^2 = 1$, then the corresponding $\varphi_\lambda \in \Omega_+^3(M)$ is

$$(13) \quad \varphi_\lambda = \varphi - 2\alpha^\# \lrcorner [a(*\varphi) + \alpha \wedge \varphi]$$

where $\alpha^\#$ is the metric dual of α . This is given in [B2], written slightly differently. Therefore, if we start with an integrable G_2 structure with harmonic φ , the space of integrable G_2 structures inducing the same metric are parametrized by the sections $\lambda = [a, \alpha]$, such that $d\theta = d(*\theta) = 0$, where $\theta = \alpha^\# \lrcorner [a(*\varphi) + \alpha \wedge \varphi]$ and $*\theta = \alpha \wedge [a\varphi - (\alpha^\# \lrcorner * \varphi)]$. It is a natural question whether a submanifold $Y^3 \subset (M, \varphi)$ is associative. The following says that any Y can be made associative in (M, φ_λ) , after deforming φ to φ_λ .

Proposition 4. *Let (M^7, φ) be a manifold with a G_2 structure, then any $Spin^c$ submanifold $(Y^3, s) \subset M^7$ is a Λ -associative submanifold of $(M, \varphi_\lambda, \Lambda)$ for some choice of $\lambda = [a, \alpha]$ and a plane field Λ .*

Proof. By Lemma 2, we can assume Y is Λ -spin for some $\Lambda = \langle u, v \rangle$. Hence this gives an orthogonal splitting $T(M) = \mathbf{E} \oplus \mathbf{V}$, with $\mathbf{E} = \langle u, v, u \times v \rangle$. Choose a unit vector field w in Y orthogonal to $\langle u, v \rangle|_Y$, then extend w to M . Now we want to choose $\lambda = [a, \alpha]$ so that if $(u \times v)_\lambda$ is the cross product corresponding to the G_2 structure φ_λ , then $(u \times v)_\lambda|_Y = w$.

By (13), and the rules $(u \times v)^\# = v \lrcorner u \lrcorner \varphi$ and $(u \times v)_\lambda^\# = v \lrcorner u \lrcorner \varphi_\lambda$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} (u \times v)_\lambda / 2 &= (u \times v) / 2 - |\alpha|^2(u \times v) - a\chi(u, v, \alpha^\#) \\ &\quad + \alpha(v)(u \times \alpha^\#) - \alpha(u)(v \times \alpha^\#) + \alpha^\# \langle u \times v, \alpha^\# \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This formula holds for any $u, v \in TM$. In our case $\{u, v\}$ are orthonormal generators of Λ , so by (8) the third term on the right is $aJ(\alpha^\#)$ where $J = J_{v \times u}$ is the complex structure of $\mathbf{V}$ given by Lemma 1 (and remarks following it).

Now if we call $w_0 = \frac{1}{2}[(u \times v) - w]$, and choose α among 1-forms whose $\mathbf{E}$ component zero (i.e. section of $\mathbf{V}$) with $|\alpha^\#| < 1$ (hence $a \neq 0$), the equation $(u \times v)_\lambda|_Y = w$ gives $w_0 = |\alpha|^2(u \times v) + aJ(\alpha^\#)$. By taking inner products of both sides with basis elements of $\mathbf{E}$, we see that $w_0^\perp = aJ(\alpha^\#)$ where $w_0^\perp$ is the $\mathbf{V}$ -component of w_0 . We can apply J to both sides and solve $\alpha^\# = -\frac{1}{a}J(w_0^\perp)$. $\square$

2.3. Deforming associative submanifolds.

Let $G(3, 7) \cong SO(7)/SO(3) \times SO(4)$ be the Grassmannian manifold of oriented 3-planes in $\mathbb{R}^7$, and $G^{\varphi_0}(3, 7) = \{L \in G(3, 7) \mid \varphi_0|_L = \text{vol}(L)\}$ be the submanifold of associative 3-planes. Recall that G_2 acts on $G^{\varphi_0}(3, 7)$ with stabilizer $SO(4)$ giving the identification $G^{\varphi_0}(3, 7) = G_2/SO(4)$ [HL]. Recall also that if $\mathbb{E} \rightarrow G(3, 7)$ and $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{E}^\perp \rightarrow G(3, 7)$ are the canonical 3-plane bundle and the complementary 4-plane bundle, then we can identify the tangent bundle by $TG(3, 7) = \mathbb{E}^* \otimes \mathbb{V}$. How does the tangent bundle of $G^{\varphi_0}(3, 7)$ sit inside of this? The answer is given by the following Lemma. By (7) the cross product operation maps $\mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$, and the metric gives an identification $\mathbb{E}^* \cong \mathbb{E}$, now if $L = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle \in G^{\varphi_0}(3, 7)$ with $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ orthonormal, then

Lemma 5. $T_L G^{\varphi_0}(3, 7) = \{ \sum_{j=1}^3 e^j \otimes v_j \in \mathbb{E}^* \otimes \mathbb{V} \mid \sum e_j \times v_j = 0 \}$.

Proof. A tangent vector of $G(3, 7)$ at L is a path of planes generated by three orthonormal vectors $L(t) = \langle e_1(t), e_2(t), e_3(t) \rangle$, such that $L(0) = L$, in other words $\dot{L} = \sum e_j \otimes \dot{e}_j$. Clearly this tangent vector lies in $G^{\varphi_0}(3, 7)$ if $e_3(t) = e_1(t) \times e_2(t)$. So $\dot{e}_3 = \dot{e}_1 \times e_2 + e_1 \times \dot{e}_2$. By taking cross product of both sides with e_3 and then using the identity (6) we get

$$\chi(\dot{e}_1, e_2, e_3) + \chi(e_1, \dot{e}_2, e_3) + \chi(e_1, e_2, \dot{e}_3) = 0.$$

Now by using (8) and the fact that the cross product of two of the vectors in $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is equal to the third (in cyclic ordering), we get the result. $\square$

It is easy to see that the normal bundle of $G^{\varphi_0}(3, 7)$ in $G(3, 7)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{V}$ giving the exact sequence of the bundles over $G^{\varphi_0}(3, 7)$:

$$0 \rightarrow TG^{\varphi_0}(3, 7) \rightarrow TG(3, 7) \xrightarrow{\times} \mathbb{V} \rightarrow 0$$

From (7) we know that, if $Y^3 \subset (M, \varphi)$ associative and ν is its normal bundle, then the cross product operation maps: $TY \times \nu \rightarrow \nu$, $\nu \times \nu \rightarrow TY$, and $TY \times TY \rightarrow TY$. Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ and $\{e^1, e^2, e^3\}$ be local frames and the dual coframes on TY and $\mathbf{A}_0$ be the background Levi-Civita connection on ν induced from the metric on M (there is also the identification $TY \cong T^*Y$ by

induced metric). Then we can define a Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}_0} : \Omega^0(\nu) \rightarrow \Omega^0(\nu)$ as the covariant derivative $\nabla_{\mathbf{A}_0} = \sum e^j \otimes \nabla_{e_j}$ followed by the cross product:

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}_0} = \sum e^j \times \nabla_{e_j}.$$

So the cross product plays the role of the Clifford multiplication in defining the Dirac operator in the normal bundle. We can extend this multiplication to 2-forms: $(a \wedge b) \times x = \frac{1}{2} [a \times (b \times x) - b \times (a \times x)]$ then by using (6) we get:

$$(a \wedge b) \times x = \frac{1}{2} [x \lrcorner (a \wedge b)] - \chi(a, b, x).$$

In particular, when $a, b \in TY$ and $x \in \nu$ then $(a \wedge b) \times x = -\chi(a, b, x)$. As usual we can twist this Dirac operator by connections on ν , by replacing $\mathbf{A}_0$ with $\mathbf{A}_0 + a$, where $a \in \Omega^1(Y, \text{ad}\nu)$ is an endomorphism of ν valued 1-form. The following from [AS1], is a generalized version of McLean's theorem [M].

Theorem 6. *The tangent space to associative submanifolds of a manifold with a G_2 structure (M, φ) at an associative submanifold Y is given by the kernel of the twisted Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}} : \Omega^0(\nu) \rightarrow \Omega^0(\nu)$, where $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_0 + a$ for some $a \in \Omega^1(Y, \text{ad}(\nu))$. The term $a = 0$ when φ is integrable.*

Proof. Recall the notations of Lemma 5. Let $L = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle$ be a tangent plane to $Y \subset M$. Any normal vector field v to Y moves L by one parameter group of diffeomorphisms giving a path of 3-planes in M , hence it gives a vertical tangent vector $\dot{L} = \sum e_j \otimes \mathcal{L}_v(e_j) \in T_L G_3(M)$ of the Grassmannian bundle of 3-planes $G_3(M) \rightarrow M$ (where $\mathcal{L}_v$ is the Lie derivative along v). By Lemma 5 this path of planes remain associative if $\sum e_j \times \mathcal{L}_v(e_j) = 0$. Since $\mathcal{L}_v(e_j) = \bar{\nabla}_{e_j}(v) - \bar{\nabla}_v(e_j)$, where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the (torsion free) metric connection of M ; then the result follows by letting $a(v) = \sum e^j \times \nabla_v(e_j)$ where ∇ is the normal component of $\bar{\nabla}$. If φ is integrable, then on a local chart it coincides with φ_0 up to quadratic terms, so $0 = \nabla_v(\varphi)|_Y = \nabla_v(e^1 \wedge e^2 \wedge e^3)$, which implies $a = 0$. Also, by using the fact that the cross product operation preserves the tangent space of the associative manifold Y , it is easy to check that the expression of a is independent of the choice of the orthonormal basis of L . $\square$

Notice that at any point by choosing normal coordinates we can make $a = 0$. This reflects the fact that φ coincides only pointwise with φ_0 , not on a chart. To make the Dirac operator onto, we can twist it by 1-forms $a \in \Omega^1(Y)$, i.e.

Lemma 7. *For associative $Y \subset (M, \varphi)$ the map $\Omega^1 \times \Omega^0(\nu) \rightarrow \Omega^0(\nu)$ defined by $(x, a) \mapsto D_{\mathbf{A}}(x) + a \times x$ is onto, (by using appropriate Sobolev norms)*

Proof. It suffices to show that the orthogonal complement of the image of this map is zero: Assume $\langle D_{\mathbf{A}}(x), y \rangle + \langle a \times x, y \rangle = 0$ for all x and a , then by taking $a = 0$ and using the self adjointness of the Dirac operator we get $D_{\mathbf{A}}(y) = 0$. Hence $\langle a \times x, y \rangle = 0$, then the fact that the map $(x, a) \mapsto a \times x$ is surjective gives the result. Note that by (6) $a \times (a \times x) = -|a|^2 x$. $\square$

So for a generic choice of a this twisted Dirac operator is onto, but what does this mean in terms of the deformation space of the associative submanifolds? The next Proposition ([AS1]) gives an answer. It says that if we perturb the deformation space with the gauge group (i.e. allowing a slight rotation of TY by the gauge group of TM during deformation) then it becomes smooth.

Note that Theorem 6 may be explained by a Gromov-Witten set-up: Let $G_3^\varphi(M) \subset G_3(M) \rightarrow M$ be the subbundle of associative 3-planes with fiber $G_3^\varphi \cong G_2/SO(4)$ ([HL]). We can form a bundle $G_3(Y, M) \rightarrow \text{Im}(Y^3, M)$ over the space of imbeddings, whose fiber over $f : Y \hookrightarrow M$ are the liftings F :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & G_3(M) \supset G_3^\varphi(M) & \\ & \downarrow & \\ F & \nearrow & \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & M \end{array}$$

The Gauss map $f \mapsto \sigma(f)$ gives a natural section to this bundle, and Y is associative if and only if this section maps into $G_3^\varphi(M)$. Theorem 6 gives the condition that the derivative of σ maps into the tangent space of $G_3^\varphi(Y, M)$, which is the subbundle of $G_3(Y, M)$ consisting of F 's mapping into $G_3^\varphi(M)$. Recall that if $P \rightarrow M$ denotes the tangent frame bundle of M , then the gauge group $\mathcal{G}(M)$ of M is defined to be the sections of the $SO(7)$ -bundle $Ad(P) \rightarrow M$, where $Ad(P) = P \times SO(7)/(p, h) \sim (pg, g^{-1}hg)$. By perturbing the Gauss map with the gauge group (i.e. by composing σ with the gauge group action $G_3(M) \rightarrow G_3(M)$ we can make it transversal to $G_3^\varphi(Y, M)$.

Proposition 8. *The map $\tilde{\sigma} : \mathcal{G}(M) \times \text{Im}(Y, M) \rightarrow G_3(Y, M)$ is transversal to $G_3^\varphi(Y, M)$, where $\tilde{\sigma}(s, f) = s(f)\sigma(f)$*

Proof. We start with the local calculation of the proof of Theorem 6, except in this setting we need to take $\dot{L} = \sum e_j \otimes \mathcal{L}_v(se_j)$, where $s \in SO(7)$ is the gauge group in the chart. Then the resulting equation is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}}(v) + \sum e_j \times \mathbf{v}(s)e_j = 0$, where $\mathbf{v}(s)e_j$ denotes the normal component of $v(s)e_j$ (here we are doing the calculation in normal coordinates where $\nabla_v(e_k) = 0$ pointwise). Then the argument as in the proof of Lemma 7 (by showing the second term is surjective) gives the proof. $\square$

The kernel of this operator gives the deformations of *pseudo associative submanifolds* of $Y \subset (M, \varphi)$, defined in [AS1] as the manifolds where the perturbed Gauss map $\tilde{\sigma}$ maps Y into $G_3^\varphi(M)$. We can either choose a generic a , or constraint the new variable a by a natural second equation, which results equations resembling the Seiberg-Witten equations as follows:

Let $Y \subset (M, \varphi, \Lambda)$ be a Λ -associative submanifold, we deform Y in the complex bundle $S \cong K^{-1} + \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{W}^+$ defined in Lemma 3. From projections (9), the background $SO(4)$ metric connection on the normal bundle ν along with a choice of a connection A on the line bundle $K \rightarrow Y$ gives a connection of the $Spin^c$ bundle, which in turn induces a connection on the associated $U(2)$ bundle $W^+ \rightarrow Y$. By using the Clifford multiplication $TY_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes W^+ \rightarrow W^+$ coming from the cross product (Lemma 3) we can form the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}_A : \Omega^0(W^+) \rightarrow \Omega^0(W^+)$, whose kernel identifies locally the deformations of Y in the bundle W^+ . Then if we constraint the new variable A by (11) we obtain deformations resembling to the Seiberg-Witten equations .

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_A(x) &= 0 \\ *F_A &= \sigma(x). \end{aligned}$$

where $*$ is the star operator of Y induced from the background metric of M , and $(x, A) \in \Omega^0(W^+) \times \mathcal{A}(K)$, and $\mathcal{A}(K)$ is the space of connections on K . From Weitzenböck formula and (12), the above equations give compactness to this type of local deformation space, hence allow us to assign Seiberg-Witten invariant to Y . Now the natural question is how easy to produce Λ -associative submanifolds $Y^3 \subset (M, \varphi, \Lambda)$? One answer is that any zero set Y^3 of a transverse section of $\mathbf{V} \rightarrow M$ gives a Λ -associative submanifold. This is because the transversality gives a canonical identification $TY \cong \mathbf{E}|_Y$. Then the natural question is: Are there natural sections of $\mathbf{V}$? We can obtain such things from the other G_2 structures as follows. Recall that $\Lambda = \langle u, v \rangle$ gives the section $s = \langle u, v, u \times v \rangle$ of the bundle $G_3(M) \rightarrow M$. For any other G_2 structure ψ on (M, φ) defines a section χ_ψ of $\mathbb{V} \rightarrow G_3(M)$. Then by pulling χ_ψ with s over M produces a natural section $\mathbf{s}(\varphi, \psi)$ of $\mathbf{V} \rightarrow M$. To these sections we can associate an integer valued invariant, i.e. the Seiberg-Witten invariant of their zero set (15) (the nontransverse sections we can associate zero). Consequences of this will be explored in a future paper.

Note that in the usual Seiberg-Witten equations on Y , we use an action of $T^*Y : W^+ \rightarrow W^-$ coming from $Spin^c$ structure, which then extends an action of $\Lambda^2(Y)$ to $W^+ \rightarrow W^+$. Here T^*Y acts as $W^+ \rightarrow W^+$ by Lemma 3. On the other hand by the background metric we have the identification $T^*Y \cong \Lambda^2(Y)$.

REFERENCES

- [A] S. Akbulut, *Lectures on Seiberg-Witten Invariants*, Turkish Jour. of Math 20 (1996) 1329-1355.
- [AS1] S. Akbulut and S. Salur, *Calibrated Manifolds and Gauge Theory*, math.GT/0402368.
- [AS2] S. Akbulut and S. Salur, *Mirror duality via G_2 and $Spin(7)$ manifolds*, math.DG/0605277.
- [B1] R.L. Bryant, *Metrics with exceptional holonomy*, Ann. of Math 126 (1987), 525–576.
- [B2] R.L. Bryant, *Some remarks on G_2 -structures*, GGT (2006), math.DG/0305124 , v3.
- [H] F.R. Harvey *Spinors and Calibrations*, Academic press Inc., Boston, MA (1990)
- [HL] F.R. Harvey, and H.B. Lawson, *Calibrated geometries*, Acta. Math. 148 (1982), 47–157.
- [K] S. Karigiannis, *Deformations of G_2 and $Spin(7)$ structures on manifolds*, math.DG/0301218.
- [M] R.C. McLean, *Deformations of calibrated submanifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 6 (1998), 705–747.
- [T] E. Thomas, *Postnikov invariants and higher order cohomology operations*, Ann. of Math. vol 85 (1967), 184–217.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MI, 48824

E-mail address: akbulut@math.msu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER, ROCHESTER, NY, 14627

E-mail address: salur@math.rochester.edu